Table 1. Learner impressions from semi-structured interviews collated by Kirkpatrick levels.

Kirkpatrick level <sup>10</sup>	Representative learner impressions
Level 1 (participation, reaction)	• 3 out of 4 learners completed 10 modules and
	associated assignments
	• 1 learner withdrew after 8 modules (graduated
	fellowship)
Level 2a (satisfaction)	General course
	Feasible and enjoyable
	• Sufficient time allotted per module, at times
	stressful during core clinical rotation
	Safe space for learning
	Assignments relevant and manageable
	• Assignments requiring engagement of a third-
	party faculty were more challenging
	Tech features
	Interactive features allowed for immediate
	knowledge application, highly engaging
	• Ability to return to asynchronous modules and
	reference links was highly valued
	Learners enjoyed working on their own
	schedule, at their own pace
	Adding virtual check-ins to asynchronous
	modules augmented learning
	• Strong dislike for clunky LMS interface, difficult
	to navigate, resorted to email communication with
	mentors
	<ul> <li>Virtual modules valued for real-time</li> </ul>
	engagement with expert educators
	In-person discussion may benefit certain
	modules, but logistics considered impractical
Level 2b (knowledge/skill)	Gained valuable insights into personal teaching
	style, areas for growth
Level 3 (behavioral change)	Ample opportunities for self-reflection and real
	world application
	Month by month application and improvements
	to clinical teaching based on acquired skills
	Strongest knowledge acquisition reported when
	linked to interactive exercises or assignments
	Pairing with a medical education project would
	add valuable opportunity for knowledge application
Level 4a (organizational practice)	• Appreciated variety and quality of sustainable,
	technology-driven education modules available for
	future learners
	Highly valued exposure to multidisciplinary
	expert educator faculty